

The Rational Case for the Last Prophet ﷺ

Talha Ibn Yusuf Al Hanafi
02/04/2026

Proof 1:

Why the World Needed a Prophet: An Introduction

Table Of Contents:

What Is Proof?.....1
Why Would God Send a Prophet at All?.....2
What Is Prophethood?.....3
The Standard for Establishing Prophethood.....5
A Word on Bias.....5
Author:.....6

*This article is part of the series: **The Rational Case for the Last Prophet** ﷺ. Read the full series here.*

There is a question that cuts through all the noise of religious debate, a question that serious minds have wrestled with for centuries: how do we know when someone is truly sent by Allah?

Before we can answer that, we need to agree on some basics. What counts as proof? What even is prophethood? And why would God bother sending a prophet at all?

This series is an attempt to answer those questions systematically. Not through blind faith, not through emotional appeals, but through clean logic and honest reasoning. Every article will take one argument for the prophethood of Muhammad ﷺ and break it down to its core, examining it the way a philosopher examines a claim, and the way a judge weighs evidence. By the end of this series, the goal is simple: **to leave no reasonable room for doubt.**

What Is Proof?

Let us start with the most basic question. What does it mean to *prove* something?

A proof is a chain of reasoning that leads from premises, things we already accept as true, to a conclusion that follows necessarily or with overwhelming probability. There are two kinds of proof worth distinguishing here.

The first is *demonstrative proof*; the kind used in mathematics. Two plus two equals four. There is no world in which this is false. This kind of certainty, however, is mostly

reserved for abstract systems like logic and numbers. It rarely applies to historical or empirical claims.

The second is *evidential proof*: the kind used in courts, in science, in history. Here the standard is not absolute mathematical certainty, but rather: does the evidence, taken together, make the conclusion so overwhelmingly likely that rejecting it would require more faith than accepting it? When a judge convicts someone of a crime, he does not have a video of every second. He has a convergence of evidence, testimony, motive, forensics, that makes any other conclusion absurd. This is the standard we use for almost everything we believe about the world.

The prophethood of Muhammad ﷺ will be examined by this second standard. And as this series will show, the evidence does not merely tip the scales, it breaks them.

Why Would God Send a Prophet at All?

This is perhaps the most important question to settle before anything else, because if prophethood is philosophically unnecessary, then the entire discussion collapses before it begins.

Consider the human situation honestly.

We are beings with a powerful need for meaning, moral direction, and knowledge of ultimate realities. Yet we are equipped with faculties that cannot, on their own, reliably reach those answers. The intellect can reason about what it observes, but it cannot observe what is beyond the physical world. The conscience gives us a general sense of right and wrong, but it differs from person to person, culture to culture, and era to era. History confirms this. Civilizations built entirely on human reasoning alone have justified slavery, child sacrifice, oppression of the weak, and the worship of stones, not because their people were unintelligent, but because the intellect, left entirely to itself, has no fixed anchor.

Now consider what Allah, the truly just, truly wise, and truly the Creator of these beings, would logically do.

A craftsman who creates a machine leaves a manual. A parent who loves a child provides guidance. A just ruler who establishes laws makes them known before punishing their violation. It would be illogical and unjust for Allah to create beings accountable for their choices and then withhold the knowledge necessary to make those choices correctly.

This is the rational necessity of prophethood.

Allah said:

مَّنِ ٱهۡتَدَىٰ فَإِنَّمَا يَهۡتَدِي لِنَفۡسِهِۦۖ وَمَن ضَلَّ فَإِنَّمَا يَضِلُّ عَلَيۡهَاۚ وَلَا تَزِرُ وَازِرَةٞ وِزۡرَ أُخۡرَىٰۗ وَمَا كُنَّا مُعَذِّبِينَ حَتَّىٰ نَبۡعَثَ رَسُولٗا

> "*Whoever chooses to be guided, it is only for their own good. And whoever chooses to stray, it is only to their own loss. No soul burdened with sin will bear the burden of another. And We would never punish ˹a people˺ until We have sent a messenger ˹to warn them˺.*"[1]

Allah does not hold people accountable for a standard they were never given. Therefore, the sending of prophets is required by the nature of a just God relating to a limited creation.

What Is Prophethood?

A prophet from the root meaning both *news* and *elevation* is a human being chosen by Allah to receive divine revelation and convey it to mankind. Prophethood has specific, definable characteristics:

A prophet receives revelation. He does not arrive at his message through personal reflection, education, or cultural inheritance. The message comes from outside him, from a source he did not construct.

Allah said:

وَمَا يَنطِقُ عَنِ ٱلۡهَوَىٰٓ ٣ إِنۡ هُوَ إِلَّا وَحۡيٞ يُوحَىٰ

> "*Nor does he speak of his own whims. It is only a revelation sent down ˹to him˺.*"[2]

A prophet conveys a message he did not invent. His teaching does not belong to him the way a philosopher's ideas belong to him. He is a carrier, a messenger which is precisely what the word *rasul* means in Arabic.

Allah said:

مَّا عَلَى ٱلرَّسُولِ إِلَّا ٱلۡبَلَٰغُۗ وَٱللَّهُ يَعۡلَمُ مَا تُبۡدُونَ وَمَا تَكۡتُمُونَ

> "*The Messenger's duty is only to deliver ˹the message˺. And Allah ˹fully˺ knows what you reveal and what you conceal.*"[3]

A prophet is distinguished by signs. Because anyone can claim prophethood, Allah does not leave humanity without a means of verification. Prophets are accompanied by

[1] Surah Al-Isra: 15
[2] Surah An-Najm 53:3-4
[3] Surah Al-Ma'idah 5:99

evidence that places their claim beyond the reach of ordinary human ability. These signs, called *mu'jizat* (miracles), are not magic tricks. They are rational markers that say: this man's knowledge and ability came from a source other than himself.

Allah said:

لَقَدْ أَرْسَلْنَا رُسُلَنَا بِٱلْبَيِّنَٰتِ وَأَنزَلْنَا مَعَهُمُ ٱلْكِتَٰبَ وَٱلْمِيزَانَ لِيَقُومَ ٱلنَّاسُ بِٱلْقِسْطِ ۖ وَأَنزَلْنَا ٱلْحَدِيدَ فِيهِ بَأْسٌ شَدِيدٌ وَمَنَٰفِعُ لِلنَّاسِ وَلِيَعْلَمَ ٱللَّهُ مَن يَنصُرُهُۥ وَرُسُلَهُۥ بِٱلْغَيْبِ ۚ إِنَّ ٱللَّهَ قَوِىٌّ عَزِيزٌ

> "*Indeed, We sent Our messengers with clear proofs, and with them We sent down the Scripture and the balance ˹of justice˺ so that people may administer justice. And We sent down iron with its great might, benefits for humanity, and means for Allah to prove who ˹is willing to˺ stand up for Him and His messengers without seeing Him. Surely Allah is All-Powerful, Almighty.*"[4]

A prophet's mission aligns with reason and the nature of reality. A true prophet does not contradict sound intellect. His message, when examined honestly, coheres with what the mind recognizes as true about morality, human nature, and the nature of existence.

Allah said:

إِنَّ فِى خَلْقِ ٱلسَّمَٰوَٰتِ وَٱلْأَرْضِ وَٱخْتِلَٰفِ ٱلَّيْلِ وَٱلنَّهَارِ لَءَايَٰتٍ لِّأُو۟لِى ٱلْأَلْبَٰبِ

> "*Indeed, in the creation of the heavens and the earth and the alternation of the day and night there are signs for people of reason.*"[5]

أَفَلَا يَتَدَبَّرُونَ ٱلْقُرْءَانَ ۚ وَلَوْ كَانَ مِنْ عِندِ غَيْرِ ٱللَّهِ لَوَجَدُوا۟ فِيهِ ٱخْتِلَٰفًا كَثِيرًا

> "*Do they not then reflect on the Quran? Had it been from anyone other than Allah, they would have certainly found many inconsistencies in it.*"[6]

This definition matters because it gives us a framework. When we examine the evidence for Muhammad ﷺ, we are not asking *did he seem like a good person*? We are asking: does the totality of evidence point to a man whose knowledge, conduct, and mission could have originated from a source beyond himself?

---

[4] Surah Al-Hadid 57:25
[5] Surah Ali-Imran 3:190
[6] Surah An-Nisa 4:82

The Standard for Establishing Prophethood

How, then, do we establish that a specific person is a true prophet and not an impostor?

Classical Islamic scholars identified several categories of evidence, which together form a complete method of verification:

Miracles beyond human capacity. A true prophet demonstrates knowledge or ability that cannot be explained by natural means like knowledge of the unseen, knowledge of the past and future, or capabilities that defy the laws of the created world. The key word here is **beyond human capacity**. The miracle must be something that no amount of intelligence, preparation, or coincidence can account for.

The consistency and coherence of the message. A fabricator contradicts himself. A man speaking from a divine source, across decades, under enormous pressure, does not. Consistency, especially under conditions of stress, opposition, and rapid change is itself a form of evidence.

The moral character of the claimant. An impostor motivated by power, money, or status will eventually be exposed by his conduct. A true prophet's life, examined honestly, will reflect the values he preaches.

The fruits of the mission. What did this prophethood produce? A true message from Allah transforms individuals and societies in ways that human movements, however well-organized, cannot fully account for.

The impossibility of alternative explanations. This is the philosophical heart of the matter. For each piece of evidence, we must ask: what is the most rational explanation for this fact? If the naturalistic explanations, fabrication, coincidence, borrowing from other traditions, psychological states, all fall short, then the remaining explanation is the one that reason demands we accept.

A Word on Bias

One final thing must be said before this series proceeds.

Genuine proof-seeking requires intellectual honesty. If a person has decided in advance that prophethood is impossible, not on the basis of evidence, but on the basis of a prior philosophical commitment to a worldview that excludes the divine, then no amount of evidence will move them. That is not a rational position; it is a circular one. It rules out the conclusion before examining the evidence.

We ask only this: come to each argument with the same openness you would bring to any serious historical or philosophical question. Examine the evidence as it is presented. Ask yourself honestly whether the alternatives hold up.

If they do, say so, and explain why. If they do not, then follow the logic wherever it leads. That is what honest thinking looks like. And that is the spirit in which this series is written.

Author:

Author:	*Caliphate Defence Force*
Tiktok;	Caliphate Defence Force
Instagram;	Caliphate Defence Force
Youtube;	*Iftira Tarih Utansin*
Twitter;	Talha Ibn Yusuf
Upscrolled;	Caliphate Defence Force
Scribd;	*Caliphate Defence Force*
Website;	*Hayatimanvecihad8*